



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 08/841,318   | 04/30/1997  | KOUKI HATAKEYAMA     | 1259-0191P-S        | 3061             |
| 2292   | 7590        | 04/21/2006           | EXAMINER            |                  |
| BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH<br>PO BOX 747<br>FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 |             |                      | GILES, NICHOLAS G   |                  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                      | 2622                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                              |                        |                     |  |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Office Action Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|                              | 08/841,318             | HATAKEYAMA, KOUKI   |  |
|                              | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|                              | Nicholas G. Giles      | 2622                |  |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 September 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 April 1997 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)             | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

### DETAILED ACTION

1. Please note the examiner has changed since the last office action correspondence.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims **1-6** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iura et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,847,756) in view of Sasaki (U.S. Patent No. 4,837,628) in further view of Sugihara (U.S. Patent No. 4,054,915) in further view of Kobayashi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,924,316).

Regarding claim **1**, Iura discloses a color video camera (see figures 2 and 3) with motion and still modes of operation with electronic display of motion and still pictures (depression of a shutter button: col. 12, lines 1-18) where a motion picture is interlaced two line additive scanned image data and a still picture also represents a form a interlace scanning where each field output of all even lines and all the odd line forms the still image data (one frame; col. 9, lines 1-51). Iura also discloses the still image data level is dependent upon the motion image data in the preceding motion image period by

setting the exposure period for the still image data to be from 1.5 to 3 time as long as the motion image exposure period (col. 4, lines 42-62 and col. 5, lines 5-15 and col. 14, lines 7-19). As seen in figure 10, the still image mode takes at least 1.5 times as long as the movie mode(see col. 14). Since lura system is configured to collect charge that is 1.5 to 3 times the amount in the movie mode, then clearly the system is capable of doubling the exposure. Therefore, lura teaches motion and still imaging for displaying images which mixed readouts charges in the motion mode and outputs all charges in the still mode (may also store still images). However, lura fails to specifically discloses the claimed color filter arrangement, interlaced "field shifting" additive readout, and outputting all pixel data in line sequential scanning. Although, a motion and still mode color camera which records still images and implement an art equivalent to line sequential scanning is well known in the art as taught by Sasaki.

In the same field of endeavor, Sasaki discloses upon a shutter release operation the still is recorded (see abstract and col. 6, lines 50- 55) where Sasaki also reads out all the pixel signal in the still image mode also by driving an interline transfer CCD to output each pixel in the array. This driving is equivalent to sequential scanning of each line. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that at the time the invention was made to modify lura, as taught by Sasaki, such that a motion/still mode camera can store selected still image from the motion sequence for user selective/creative use at anytime . Additionally, lura and Sasaki teach providing a high quality still image by using all the image data in the array but output the all the data using different driving method, and it would appear to be obvious to any one of

ordinary skill in the art that either field readouts or line sequential scanning of all pixel charges would produce a quality still image, and the inclusion of either method is matter of design choice.

Iura and Sasaki, as stated above, disclose color imaging systems. However, neither teaches using a stripe color filter arrangement and interlaced claimed field shifting method. Although, it is well known in the art to include these features as taught by Sugihara.

Sugihara discloses a color camera which incorporates a column stripe color filter (see figure 3). Sugihara also discloses several interlace method used in color camera. One method comprises averaging signals of two adjacent lines of the same color during an even field (col. 9, lines 1-14). Another method comprises a two line additive readout which uses the same pair of lines each field (col. 10, lines 49-67). And an interlace method, where different lines are added in each field (col. 11, lines 15-65) which is the claimed method. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify, Iura and Sasaki to use a stripe color filter, as taught by Sugihara, as such processing of color signals from column stripe filters is available to color cameras and provide a good resolution image. It would have been further, obvious to implement the claimed interlace method taught by Sugihara, into the systems of Iura and Sasaki, as it does not require any significant upgrade to processing circuitry that could increase the cost of the system and is an improved interlaced color method, as taught by Sugihara. Further, it would have been obvious to use the interlace method claimed on a column striped CCD, as the Examiner takes Official Notice that interlace scanned CCD with

Art Unit: 2622

color stripe filters exist in the art. Also same color additive interlacing is known in the art (and also taught by Sugihara) which can also be done in remapping signal processors or other signal processors. Therefore, it would also not require a significant upgrade in the color signal processing circuitry and requires on routine skill in the art to implement a color imaging system incorporating a stripe filter to output a picture with good resolution.

Iura et al, Sasaki, and Sugihara are silent with regards to subjecting a white balance process to the field image signals in a white balance circuit and outputting integrated vales of the field images from the white balance circuit in an integrated circuit and further detecting the signal levels of the field image signals based on the integrated signals. Kobayashi et al. discloses:

Subjecting a white balance process to the field image signals in a white balance circuit and outputting integrated vales of the field images from the white balance circuit in an integrated circuit and further detecting the signal levels of the field image signals based on the integrated signals (24:33-25:47 and Figs. 28-30).

An advantage to subjecting a white balance process to the field image signals in a white balance circuit and outputting integrated vales of the field images from the white balance circuit in an integrated circuit and further detecting the signal levels of the field image signals based on the integrated signals is that image signals can be properly adjusted during low lighting conditions using the image signal itself for correction. For

this reason it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Iura's electronic camera control method modified by Sasaki and Sugihara further modified by Kobayashi et al. to include subjecting a white balance process to the field image signals in a white balance circuit and outputting integrated values of the field images from the white balance circuit in an integrated circuit and further detecting the signal levels of the field image signals based on the integrated signals.

Sasaki further discloses:

Subjecting a gradation correction in a gamma circuit and displaying a frame of the moving picture based on the field image signals which are output from the gamma circuit (7:26-46 and Fig. 8).

An advantage to subjecting a gradation correction in a gamma circuit and displaying a frame of the moving picture based on the field image signals which are output from the gamma circuit is that a CRT can be used to display the image signals properly, whereas if the gamma circuit was not included then a LCD would be used to display the image signal properly. For this reason it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Iura's electronic camera control method further modified by Sasaki to include a gamma circuit for image signal correction.

As for claim 2, see Examiner notes in claim 1.

As for claim 3, see Examiner notes in claim 2. Addition, lura range is from 1.5 to 3 times the exposure (see cited columns in claim 1).

As for claim 4, lura discloses changing the exposure in an embodiment. lura also teaches that when image data level is to be changed, the gain of an amplifier and/or the exposure time is adjusted (col. 3, lines 10-15). Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, that instead of doubling the exposure time, the gain of the amplifier for the color signals could be double to increase the signal.

As for claim 5, see Examiner notes in claim 1. In addition, lura discloses an embodiment where the motion image charge storage time is inherently updated because the CCD has an electronic shutter component (col. 15, lines 15-45 and col. 17, lines 1-15).

As for claim 6, see Examiner's notes in claim 5. In addition, lura gives an example in col. 15, the exposure time of the still is three times as long. However, the exposure range is 1.5 to three times as long which means it could be twice as long.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas G. Giles whose telephone number is (571) 272-2824. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30am to 4:00pm EST.



If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ngoc - Yen Vu can be reached on (571) 272-7320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NGG

  
NGOC-YEN VU  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER